"Bufiles reveal that Weisberg has written numerous letters requesting unsuccessfully that the Bureau make available to Weisberg certain records concerning captioned matter, as well as records concerning another unrelated investigation."

This leaves no doubt that the FBI had received and had ignored Mr. Weisberg's "numerous" request and deliberately ignored them and those relating to the JFK assassination while indicating otherwise to this Court.

The mark on the first page attached, the teletype, indicated what almost certainly was the result of electronic surveillance. Chief counsel was abroad. The defense was pro se and unfinanced. Mr. Weisberg and I discussed those possibilities by phone after our return from discovery in Memphis a few days earlier. One possibility was for Mr. Weisberg to testify to the results of his investigation. Mr. Weisberg recommended that we ask professor Herbert MacDonnell not Mr Donald to be our expert in criminalistics. When I agrred by phone Mr. Weisberg arranged this also by phone. He never saw Professor MacDonnell until he took him to the officie of the clerk of the court in Memphis to make his examination.

Further bearing on this is the fact that October 7,1974 was a Monday. It was the first working day he and I were back from Memphis, Mr. Weisberg working at his home on his work and I working in Washington on mine.

The first mark on the next page indicates the degree of interest the FBI has in Mr. Weisberg when it saves a minor article from a small country weekly of more than a decade ago. The second is prejudicial and dishonest. Then the Congress had amended FOIA, with that cause the first of four cited as requiring the amendments. That this is omitted might not have been helpful to the State AG on cross examination.